arXiv:0908.3829v1 [math.DG] 26 Aug 2009

A LOWER BOUND FOR EIGENVALUES OF A CLAMPED PLATE PROBLEM*

QING-MING CHENG AND GUOXIN WEI

Abstract. In this paper, we study eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem. We obtain a lower bound for eigenvalues, which gives an important improvement of results due to Levine and Protter.

1. Introduction

Let M be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. The following is called *Dirichlet eigenvalue problem of Laplacian*:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = -\lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in M with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and Δ denotes the Laplacian on M. It is well known that the spectrum of this eigenvalue problem (1.1) is real and discrete.

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots \to \infty,$$

where each λ_i has finite multiplicity which is repeated according to its multiplicity.

Let $V(\Omega)$ denotes the volume of Ω and B_n the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbf{R}^n$, then the following Weyl's asymptotic formula holds

$$\lambda_k \sim \frac{4\pi^2}{(B_n V(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad k \to \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

From this asymptotic formula, one can infer

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \sim \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{4\pi^2}{(B_n V(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad k \to \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

In particular, when $M = \mathbf{R}^n$, Pólya [18] proved

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{4\pi^2}{(B_n V(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad \text{for k} = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{1.4}$$

if Ω is a tiling domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$. Moreover, he conjectured for a general bounded domain,

2001 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35P15.

Key words and phrases: a lower bound for eigenvalues, a clamped plate problem.

* Research partially Supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from JSPS.

Conjecture of Pólya. *If Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$, then eigenvalue λ_k of the eigenvalue problem* (1.1) *satisfies*

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{4\pi^2}{(B_n V(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad \text{for k} = 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{1.5}$$

On the conjecture of Pólya, Li and Yau [13] (cf. [4], [14]) proved

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i \geq \frac{n}{n+2}\frac{4\pi^2}{(B_n V(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad \text{for k} = 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{1.6}$$

It is sharp about the highest order term of k in the sense of average according to (1.3). From this formula, one can derive

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{n}{n+2}\frac{4\pi^2}{(B_n V(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad \text{for k} = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{1.7}$$

which gives a partial solution for the conjecture of Pólya with a factor $\frac{n}{n+2}$.

Furthermore, Melas [15] obtained the following estimate which is an improvement of (1.6).

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i \geq \frac{n}{n+2}\frac{4\pi^2}{(B_n V(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}} + c_n\frac{V(\Omega)}{I(\Omega)}, \quad \text{for k} = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{1.8}$$

where c_n is a constant depending only on the dimension n and

$$I(\Omega) = \min_{a\in\mathbf{R}^n}\int_\Omega |x-a|^2 dx$$

is called *the moment of inertia* of Ω.

For a bounded domain in an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold, Cheng and Yang [9] have also given a lower bound for eigenvalues, recently.

Our purpose in this paper is to study eigenvalues of the following clamped plate problem. Let Ω be a bounded domain in an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold M^n. The following is called *a clamped plate problem*, which describes characteristic vibrations of a clamped plate:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \Gamma u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian on M^n and ν denotes the outward unit normal to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. It is well known that this problem has a real and discrete spectrum

$$0 < \Gamma_1 \leq \Gamma_2 \leq \cdots \leq \Gamma_k \leq \cdots \to +\infty,$$

where each Γ_i has finite multiplicity which is repeated according to its multiplicity.

For eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem, Agmon [1] and Pleijel [17] gave the following asymptotic formula, which is a generalization of Weyl's asymptotic formula,

$$\Gamma_k \sim \frac{16\pi^4}{\big(B_n V(\Omega)\big)^{\frac{4}{n}}} k^{\frac{4}{n}}, \quad k \to \infty. \tag{1.10}$$

The average of the eigenvalues satisfies

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma_j \sim \frac{n}{n+4}\frac{16\pi^4}{\left(B_nV(\Omega)\right)^{\frac{4}{n}}}k^{\frac{4}{n}}, \quad k\to\infty. \tag{1.11}$$

Furthermore, Levine and Protter [12] proved that eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem satisfy

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma_j \geq \frac{n}{n+4}\frac{16\pi^4}{\left(B_nV(\Omega)\right)^{\frac{4}{n}}}k^{\frac{4}{n}}. \tag{1.12}$$

The inequality (1.12) is sharp about the highest order term of k according to (1.11).

In this paper, we give an important improvement of the result due to Levine and Protter [12] by adding to its right hand side two terms of the lower order terms of k. In fact, we prove the following:

Theorem. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in an n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$. Eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma_j \geq&\frac{n}{n+4}\frac{16\pi^4}{\left(B_nV(\Omega)\right)^{\frac{4}{n}}}k^{\frac{4}{n}}\\ &+\left(\frac{n+2}{12n(n+4)}-\frac{1}{1152n^2(n+4)}\right)\frac{V(\Omega)}{I(\Omega)}\frac{n}{n+2}\frac{4\pi^2}{\left(B_nV(\Omega)\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}}k^{\frac{2}{n}}\\ &+\left(\frac{1}{576n(n+4)}-\frac{1}{27648n^2(n+2)(n+4)}\right)\left(\frac{V(\Omega)}{I(\Omega)}\right)^2,\end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

where $I(\Omega)$ is the moment of inertia of Ω.

Corollary. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in an n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$. Then eigenvalues Γ_j's of the clamped plate problem satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma_j \geq&\frac{n}{n+4}\frac{16\pi^4}{\left(B_nV(\Omega)\right)^{\frac{4}{n}}}k^{\frac{4}{n}}\\ &+\left(\frac{n+2}{12n(n+4)}-\frac{1}{1152n^2(n+4)}\right)\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i^{-1}}\frac{n}{n+2}\frac{4\pi^2}{\left(B_nV(\Omega)\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}}k^{\frac{2}{n}}\\ &+\left(\frac{1}{576n(n+4)}-\frac{1}{27648n^2(n+2)(n+4)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mu_i^{-1}}\right)^2,\end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

where $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n$ are the first n nonzero eigenvalues of the Neumann eigenvalue problem of Laplacian

$$\begin{cases}\Delta v=-\mu v, & \text{in } \Omega,\\ \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega.\end{cases}$$

Remark 1. On universal estimates for eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem, one can see [6], [7], [8], [10] and [20].

2. Proof of results

For a bounded domain Ω, *the moment of inertia* of Ω is defined by

$$I(\Omega) = \min_{a\in\mathbf{R}^n} \int_\Omega |x-a|^2 dx.$$

By a translation of the origin and a suitable rotation of axes, we can assume that the center of mass is the origin and

$$I(\Omega) = \int_\Omega |x|^2 dx.$$

For reader's convenience, we first review the definition and serval properties of the symmetric decreasing rearrangements. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. Its *symmetric rearrangement* Ω^* is the open ball with the same volume as Ω,

$$\Omega^* = \Big\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n |\ \ |x| < \left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega)}{B_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\Big\}.$$

By using a symmetric rearrangement of Ω, we have

$$I(\Omega) = \int_\Omega |x|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega^*} |x|^2 dx = \frac{n}{n+2} V(\Omega) \left(\frac{V(\Omega)}{B_n}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let h be a nonnegative bounded continuous function on Ω, we can consider its *distribution function* $\mu_h(t)$ defined by

$$\mu_h(t) = \mathrm{Vol}(\{x \in \Omega |\ \ h(x) > t\}).$$

The distribution function can be viewed as a function from $[0,\infty)$ to $[0, V(\Omega)]$. The *symmetric decreasing rearrangement* h^* *of* h is defined by

$$h^*(x) = \inf\{t \geq 0 | \mu_h(t) < B_n |x|^n\}$$

for $x \in \Omega^*$. By definition, we know that $\mathrm{Vol}(\{x \in \Omega | h(x) > t\}) = \mathrm{Vol}(\{x \in \Omega^* | h^*(x) > t\})$, $\forall t > 0$ and $h^*(x)$ is a radially symmetric function.

Putting $g(|x|) := h^*(x)$, one gets that $g : [0, +\infty) \to [0, \sup h]$ is a non-increasing function of $|x|$. Using the well known properties of the symmetric decreasing rearrangement, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h(x) dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h^*(x) dx = n B_n \int_0^\infty s^{n-1} g(s) ds \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |x|^4 h(x) dx \geq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |x|^4 h^*(x) dx = n B_n \int_0^\infty s^{n+3} g(s) ds. \tag{2.3}$$

Good sources of further information on rearrangements are [3], [19].

One gets from the coarea formula that

$$\mu_h(t) = \int_t^{\sup h} \int_{\{h=s\}} |\nabla h|^{-1} d\sigma_s ds.$$

Since h^* is radial, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_h(g(s)) &= \mathrm{Vol}\{x \in \Omega | h(x) > g(s)\} = \mathrm{Vol}\{x \in \Omega^* | h^*(x) > g(s)\} \\ &= \mathrm{Vol}\{x \in \Omega^* | g(|x|) > g(s)\} = B_n s^n.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$nB_n s^{n-1} = \mu_h^{'}(g(s))g^{'}(s)$$

for almost every s. Putting $\tau := \sup |\nabla h|$, we obtain from the above equations and the isoperimetric inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}-\mu_h^{'}(g(s)) &= \int_{\{h=g(s)\}} |\nabla h|^{-1} d\sigma_{g(s)} \geq \tau^{-1} \mathrm{Vol}_{n-1}(\{h = g(s)\}) \\ &\geq \tau^{-1} n B_n s^{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one obtains

$$-\tau \leq g^{'}(s) \leq 0 \tag{2.4}$$

for almost every s.

Next, we prepare the following lemma in order to prove of our theorem.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $b \geq 1$, $\eta > 0$ and $\psi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ be a decreasing smooth function such that*

$$-\eta \leq \psi^{'}(s) \leq 0$$

and, for a constant $d < 1$,

$$\frac{\psi(0)^{\frac{2b+2}{b}}}{6b\eta^2 (bA)^{\frac{2}{b}}} < d$$

with

$$A := \int_0^\infty s^{b-1}\psi(s)ds > 0.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty s^{b+3}\psi(s)ds \geq & \frac{1}{b+4}(bA)^{\frac{b+4}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{4}{b}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{3b(b+4)\eta^2} - \frac{d}{6(b+2)^2(b+4)\eta^2}\right)(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{\frac{2b-2}{b}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{36b(b+4)\eta^4} - \frac{d}{36(b+2)^2(b+4)\eta^4}\right)A\psi(0)^4.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Defining

$$D := \int_0^\infty s^{b+1}\psi(s)ds,$$

one can prove from the same assertions as in the lemma 1 of [15],

$$D = \int_0^\infty s^{b+1}\psi(s)ds \geq \frac{1}{b+2}(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b}} + \frac{A\psi(0)^2}{6(b+2)\eta^2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Since the formula (2.6) holds for any constant $b \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty s^{b+3}\psi(s)ds \\
&\geq \frac{1}{b+4}((b+2)D)^{\frac{b+4}{b+2}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b+2}} + \frac{D\psi(0)^2}{6(b+4)\eta^2} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{b+4}\left[(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b}} + \frac{A\psi(0)^2}{6\eta^2}\right]^{\frac{b+4}{b+2}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b+2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\psi(0)^2}{6(b+4)\eta^2}\left[\frac{1}{b+2}(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b}} + \frac{A\psi(0)^2}{6(b+2)\eta^2}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{b+4}\left[(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b}} + \frac{A\psi(0)^2}{6\eta^2}\right]\left[(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b}}\right]^{\frac{2}{b+2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{A\psi(0)^{\frac{2b+2}{b}}}{6(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\eta^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{b+2}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b+2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6(b+2)(b+4)\eta^2}(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{\frac{2b-2}{b}} + \frac{A\psi(0)^4}{36(b+2)(b+4)\eta^4} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{b+4}\left[(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b}} + \frac{A\psi(0)^2}{6\eta^2}\right]\left[(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b}}\right]^{\frac{2}{b+2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{1 + \frac{1}{b+2}\frac{A\psi(0)^{\frac{2b+2}{b}}}{6(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\eta^2}\left(2 - \frac{b}{b+2}\frac{A\psi(0)^{\frac{2b+2}{b}}}{6(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\eta^2}\right)\right\}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b+2}} \\
&\qquad\qquad \text{(from the Taylor formula)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6(b+2)(b+4)\eta^2}(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{\frac{2b-2}{b}} + \frac{A\psi(0)^4}{36(b+2)(b+4)\eta^4} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{b+4}\left[(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b}} + \frac{A\psi(0)^2}{6\eta^2}\right]\left[(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b}}\right]^{\frac{2}{b+2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{1 + \frac{1}{b+2}\frac{A\psi(0)^{\frac{2b+2}{b}}}{6(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\eta^2}\left(2 - \frac{b}{b+2}d\right)\right\}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2}{b+2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6(b+2)(b+4)\eta^2}(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{\frac{2b-2}{b}} + \frac{A\psi(0)^4}{36(b+2)(b+4)\eta^4} \\
&= \frac{1}{b+4}(bA)^{\frac{b+4}{b}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{4}{b}} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{3b(b+4)\eta^2} - \frac{d}{6(b+2)^2(b+4)\eta^2}\right)(bA)^{\frac{b+2}{b}}\psi(0)^{\frac{2b-2}{b}} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{36b(b+4)\eta^4} - \frac{d}{36(b+2)^2(b+4)\eta^4}\right)A\psi(0)^4.
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

□

Proof of Theorem. Let u_j be an orthonormal eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue Γ_j, that is, u_j satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u_j = \Gamma_j u_j, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_j = \dfrac{\partial u_j}{\partial \nu} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \int u_i u_j = \delta_{ij}, & \text{for any } i,\, j. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Thus, $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$. We define a function φ_j by

$$\varphi_j(x) = \begin{cases} u_j(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\widehat{\varphi}_j(z)$ the Fourier transform of $\varphi_j(x)$. For any $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we have by definition that

$$\widehat{\varphi}_j(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi_j(x) e^{i<x,z>} dx = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\Omega} u_j(x) e^{i<x,z>} dx. \tag{2.8}$$

From the Plancherel formula, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \widehat{\varphi}_i(z) \widehat{\varphi}_j(z) dz = \delta_{ij}$$

for any i, j. Since $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$, the Bessel inequality implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} |\widehat{\varphi}_j(z)|^2 \le (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\Omega} |e^{i<x,z>}|^2 dx = (2\pi)^{-n} V(\Omega). \tag{2.9}$$

For each $q = 1, \cdots, n$, $j = 1, \cdots, k$, we deduce from the divergence theorem and $u_j|_{\partial\Omega} = \dfrac{\partial u_j}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} z_q^2 \widehat{\varphi}_j(z) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \varphi_j(x)(-i)^2 \frac{\partial^2 e^{i<x,z>}}{\partial x_q^2} dx \\ &= -(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_j(x)}{\partial x_q^2} e^{i<x,z>} dx \\ &= -\widehat{\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_j}{\partial x_q^2}}(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

It follows from the Parseval's identity that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}|z|^4|\widehat{\varphi}_j(z)|^2dz &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left||z|^2\widehat{\varphi}_j(z)\right|^2dz\\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n}|\sum_{q=1}^n\widehat{\frac{\partial^2\varphi_j}{\partial x_q^2}}(z)|^2dz\\ &= \int_\Omega(\sum_{q=1}^n\frac{\partial^2u_j}{\partial x_q^2})^2dx\\ &= \int_\Omega|\Delta u_j(x)|^2dx\\ &= \int_\Omega u_j(x)\Delta^2u_j(x)dx\\ &= \int_\Omega\Gamma_ju_j^2(x)dx\\ &= \Gamma_j.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since

$$\nabla\widehat{\varphi}_j(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}\int_\Omega ixu_j(x)e^{i<x,z>}dx, \tag{2.12}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^k|\nabla\widehat{\varphi}_j(z)|^2 \le (2\pi)^{-n}\int_\Omega|ixe^{i<x,z>}|^2dx = (2\pi)^{-n}I(\Omega). \tag{2.13}$$

Putting

$$h(z) := \sum_{j=1}^k|\widehat{\varphi}_j(z)|^2,$$

one derives from (2.9) that $0 \le h(z) \le (2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega)$, it follows from (2.13) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla h(z)| &\le 2\left(\sum_{j=1}^k|\widehat{\varphi}_j(z)|^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^k|\nabla\widehat{\varphi}_j(z)|^2\right)^{1/2}\\ &\le 2(2\pi)^{-n}\sqrt{V(\Omega)I(\Omega)}\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$. From the Parseval's identity, we derive

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}h(z)dz = \sum_{j=1}^k\int_\Omega|u_j(x)|^2dx = k. \tag{2.15}$$

Applying the symmetric decreasing rearrangement to h and noting that $\tau = \sup|\nabla h| \le 2(2\pi)^{-n}\sqrt{V(\Omega)I(\Omega)} := \eta$, we obtain, from (2.4),

$$-\eta \le -\tau \le g^{'}(s) \le 0 \tag{2.16}$$

for almost every s. According to (2.2) and (2.15), we infer

$$k = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h(z)dz = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h^*(z)dz = nB_n \int_0^\infty s^{n-1} g(s)ds. \tag{2.17}$$

From (2.3) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \Gamma_j &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |z|^4 h(z)dz \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |z|^4 h^*(z)dz \\ &= nB_n \int_0^\infty s^{n+3} g(s)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

In order to apply Lemma 2.1, from (2.17) and the definition of A , we take

$$\psi(s) = g(s), \quad A = \frac{k}{nB_n}, \quad \eta = 2(2\pi)^{-n}\sqrt{V(\Omega)I(\Omega)}, \tag{2.19}$$

from (2.1), we deduce that

$$\eta \geq 2(2\pi)^{-n} \left(\frac{n}{n+2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_n^{-\frac{1}{n}} V(\Omega)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}. \tag{2.20}$$

On the other hand, $0 < g(0) \leq \sup h^*(z) = \sup h(z) \leq (2\pi)^{-n} V(\Omega)$, we have from (2.1), (2.19) and (2.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g(0)^{\frac{2n+2}{n}}}{6n\eta^2 (nA)^{\frac{2}{n}}} &\leq \frac{((2\pi)^{-n} V(\Omega))^{\frac{2n+2}{n}}}{6n(2(2\pi)^{-n} \left(\frac{n}{n+2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_n^{-\frac{1}{n}} V(\Omega)^{\frac{n+1}{n}})^2 (\frac{k}{B_n})^{\frac{2}{n}}} \\ = \frac{n+2}{24n^2} \frac{B_n^{\frac{4}{n}}}{(2\pi)^2 k^{\frac{2}{n}}} &\leq \frac{n+2}{24n^2} \frac{B_n^{\frac{4}{n}}}{(2\pi)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation, one sees from $B_n = \dfrac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$ that

$$\frac{B_n^{\frac{4}{n}}}{(2\pi)^2} < \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2.21}$$

where $\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})$ is the Gamma function. From the above arguments, one has

$$\frac{g(0)^{\frac{2n+2}{n}}}{6n\eta^2 (nA)^{\frac{2}{n}}} \leq \frac{n+2}{48n^2} < 1. \tag{2.22}$$

Hence we know that the function $\psi(s) = g(s)$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.1 with $b = n$ and

$$\eta = 2(2\pi)^{-n}\sqrt{V(\Omega)I(\Omega)}, \quad d = \frac{n+2}{48n^2}.$$

From Lemma 2.1 and (2.18), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma_j &\geq nB_n\int_0^{\infty}s^{n+3}g(s)ds\\ &\geq \frac{n}{n+4}(B_n)^{-\frac{4}{n}}k^{\frac{n+4}{n}}g(0)^{-\frac{4}{n}}\\ &\quad+\left(\frac{1}{3(n+4)\eta^2}-\frac{1}{288n(n+2)(n+4)\eta^2}\right)k^{\frac{n+2}{n}}(B_n)^{-\frac{2}{n}}g(0)^{\frac{2n-2}{n}}\\ &\quad+\left(\frac{1}{36n(n+4)\eta^4}-\frac{1}{1728n^2(n+2)(n+4)\eta^4}\right)kg(0)^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

Defining a function F by

$$\begin{aligned}F(t)=&\frac{n}{n+4}(B_n)^{-\frac{4}{n}}k^{\frac{n+4}{n}}t^{-\frac{4}{n}}\\ &+\left(\frac{1}{3(n+4)\eta^2}-\frac{1}{288n(n+2)(n+4)\eta^2}\right)k^{\frac{n+2}{n}}(B_n)^{-\frac{2}{n}}t^{\frac{2n-2}{n}}\\ &+\left(\frac{1}{36n(n+4)\eta^4}-\frac{1}{1728n^2(n+2)(n+4)\eta^4}\right)kt^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

It is not hard to prove from (2.20) that $\eta\geq(2\pi)^{-n}B_n^{-\frac{1}{n}}V(\Omega)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$. Furthermore, it follows from (2.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}&F^{'}(t)\\ &\leq-\frac{4}{n+4}(B_n)^{-\frac{4}{n}}k^{\frac{n+4}{n}}t^{-1-\frac{4}{n}}\\ &\quad+\left(\frac{2(n-1)}{3n(n+4)}-\frac{(n-1)}{144n^2(n+2)(n+4)}\right)k^{\frac{n+2}{n}}(2\pi)^{2n}V(\Omega)^{-\frac{2(n+1)}{n}}t^{\frac{n-2}{n}}\\ &\quad+\left(\frac{1}{9n(n+4)}-\frac{1}{432n^2(n+2)(n+4)}\right)kt^3(2\pi)^{4n}(B_n)^{\frac{4}{n}}V(\Omega)^{-\frac{4(n+1)}{n}}\\ &=\frac{k}{n+4}t^{-\frac{n+4}{n}}\times\Big\{(\frac{2(n-1)}{3n}-\frac{(n-1)}{144n^2(n+2)})(2\pi)^{2n}k^{\frac{2}{n}}V(\Omega)^{-\frac{2(n+1)}{n}}t^{\frac{2n+2}{n}}\\ &\quad-4(B_n)^{-\frac{4}{n}}k^{\frac{4}{n}}+(\frac{1}{9n}-\frac{1}{432n^2(n+2)})(2\pi)^{4n}(B_n)^{\frac{4}{n}}V(\Omega)^{-\frac{4(n+1)}{n}}t^{\frac{4n+4}{n}}\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{n+4}{k}t^{\frac{n+4}{n}}F^{'}(t)\\ &\leq\big(\frac{2(n-1)}{3n}-\frac{(n-1)}{144n^2(n+2)}\big)(2\pi)^{2n}k^{\frac{2}{n}}V(\Omega)^{-\frac{2(n+1)}{n}}t^{\frac{2n+2}{n}}\\ &\quad-4(B_n)^{-\frac{4}{n}}k^{\frac{4}{n}}+\big(\frac{1}{9n}-\frac{1}{432n^2(n+2)}\big)(2\pi)^{4n}(B_n)^{\frac{4}{n}}V(\Omega)^{-\frac{4(n+1)}{n}}t^{\frac{4n+4}{n}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.25}$$

Since the right hand side of (2.25) is an increasing function of t, if it is not larger than 0 at $t=(2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\frac{2(n-1)}{3n}-\frac{(n-1)}{144n^2(n+2)}\big)(2\pi)^{2n}k^{\frac{2}{n}}V(\Omega)^{-\frac{2(n+1)}{n}}((2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega))^{\frac{2n+2}{n}}\\&+\big(\frac{1}{9n}-\frac{1}{432n^2(n+2)}\big)(2\pi)^{4n}(B_n)^{\frac{4}{n}}V(\Omega)^{-\frac{4(n+1)}{n}}((2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega))^{\frac{4n+4}{n}}\\&-4(B_n)^{-\frac{4}{n}}k^{\frac{4}{n}}\leq 0,\end{aligned}\tag{2.26}$$

then one has from (2.25) that $F^{'}(t)\leq 0$ on $(0,(2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega)]$. Hence, $F(t)$ is decreasing on $(0,(2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega)]$. Indeed, by a direct calculation, we have that (2.26) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{(n-1)}{6n}-\frac{(n-1)}{576n^2(n+2)}\right)(2\pi)^{-2}k^{\frac{2}{n}}\\&+\left(\frac{1}{36n}-\frac{1}{1728n^2(n+2)}\right)(2\pi)^{-4}(B_n)^{\frac{4}{n}}\\&\leq (B_n)^{-\frac{4}{n}}k^{\frac{4}{n}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

From (2.21), we can prove that $(2\pi)^{-2}(B_n)^{\frac{4}{n}}<1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{(n-1)}{6n}-\frac{(n-1)}{576n^2(n+2)}\right)(2\pi)^{-2}k^{\frac{2}{n}}\\&+\left(\frac{1}{36n}-\frac{1}{1728n^2(n+2)}\right)(2\pi)^{-4}(B_n)^{\frac{4}{n}}\\&<\frac{1}{6}(2\pi)^{-2}k^{\frac{2}{n}}+\frac{1}{36n}(2\pi)^{-2}\\&<(2\pi)^{-2}\left\{\frac{1}{6}k^{\frac{4}{n}}+\frac{1}{36n}\right\}\\&<(2\pi)^{-2}k^{\frac{4}{n}}<(B_n)^{-\frac{4}{n}}k^{\frac{4}{n}},\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

that is, $F(t)$ is a decreasing function on $(0,(2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega)]$.

On the other hand, since $0<g(0)\leq(2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega)$ and the right hand side of the formula (2.23) is $F(g(0))$, which is a decreasing function of $g(0)$ on $(0,(2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega)]$, then we can replace $g(0)$ by $(2\pi)^{-n}V(\Omega)$ in (2.23) which gives inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Gamma_j\geq&\frac{n}{n+4}\frac{16\pi^4}{\big(B_nV(\Omega)\big)^{\frac{4}{n}}}k^{\frac{4}{n}}\\&+\left(\frac{n+2}{12n(n+4)}-\frac{1}{1152n^2(n+4)}\right)\frac{V(\Omega)}{I(\Omega)}\frac{n}{n+2}\frac{4\pi^2}{\big(B_nV(\Omega)\big)^{\frac{2}{n}}}k^{\frac{2}{n}}\\&+\left(\frac{1}{576n(n+4)}-\frac{1}{27648n^2(n+2)(n+4)}\right)\left(\frac{V(\Omega)}{I(\Omega)}\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem.

□

Proof of Corollary. Let $v_1, \cdots, v_n$ be n orthonormal eigenfunctions corresponding to the first n eigenvalues $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n$ of the Neumann eigenvalue problem of Laplacian, that is,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_i = -\mu_i v_i, & \text{in } \ \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial v_i}{\partial \nu} = 0, & \text{on } \ \partial\Omega, \\ \int_\Omega v_i v_j = \delta_{ij}, & \text{i, j} = 1, \cdots, \text{n}. \end{cases}$$

It then follows from the inequality (2.8) in [2] that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\mu_i} \geq \frac{\int_\Omega |x|^2 dx}{V(\Omega)}. \tag{2.29}$$

Combining (1.13) and (2.29), we have the inequality (1.14).

□

References

[1] S. Agmon, *On kernels, eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of operators related to elliptic problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1965), 627-663.

[2] M. S. Ashbaugh and R. D. Benguria, *Universal bounds for the low eigenvalues of Neumann Laplacian in N dimensions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 24 (1993), 557-570.

[3] C. Bandle, *Isoperimetric inequalities ans applications*, Pitman Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, vol. 7, Pitman, Boston, 1980.

[4] F. A. Berezin, *Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 36 (1972), 1134-1167.

[5] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.

[6] Q. -M. Cheng, G. Huang and G. Wei, *Estimates for lower order eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem*, preprint.

[7] Q. -M. Cheng, T. Ichikawa and S. Mametsuka, *Estimates for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem on Riemannian manifolds*, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan.

[8] Q. -M. Cheng and H. C. Yang, *Inequalities for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 2625-2635.

[9] Q. -M. Cheng and H. C. Yang, *Estimates for eigenvalues on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Equations 247 (2009), 2270-2281.

[10] S. M. Hook, *Domain independent upper bounds for eigenvalues of elliptic operator*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 318 (1990), 615-642.

[11] A. Laptev, *Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalue problems on domains in Euclidean spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 151 (1997), 531-545.

[12] H. A. Levine and M. H. Protter, *Unrestricted lower bounds for eigenvalues for classes of elliptic equations and systems of equations with applications to problems in elasticity*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 7 (1985), no. 2, 210-222.

[13] P. Li and S. T. Yau, *On the Schrödinger equations and the eigenvalue problem*, Comm. Math. Phys. 88 (1983), 309-318.

[14] E. Lieb, *The number of bound states of one-body Schrödinger operators and the Weyl problem*, Proc. Sym. Pure Math. 36 (1980), 241-252.

[15] A. D. Melas, *A lower bound for sums of eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), 631-636.

[16] L. E. Payne, G. Pólya and H. F. Weinberger, *On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues*, J. Math. and Phys. 35 (1956), 289-298.

[17] A. Pleijel, *On the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of elastic plates*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 3 (1950), 1-10.
[18] G. Pólya, *On the eigenvalues of vibrating membranes*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 11 (1961), 419-433.
[19] G. Pólya and G. Szegö, *Isoperimetric inequalities in mathematical physics*, Annals of mathematics studies, number 27, Princeton university press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1951.
[20] Q. L. Wang, C. Y. Xia, *Universal bounds for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 245 (2007), 334-352.

Qing-Ming Cheng, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Saga University, Saga 840-8502, Japan, cheng@ms.saga-u.ac.jp

Guoxin Wei, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Saga University, Saga 840-8502, Japan, wei@ms.saga-u.ac.jp